

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/433,161	FATTMAN, GEORGE
	Examiner Katarzyna Wyrozebski	Art Unit 1714

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) MR. Stuart Krieger. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 July 2004

**Time:** 1245

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*pending claims*

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See *Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative to assure that the components c and g of the present claims were switched correctly or not. The applicant's representative indicated that the components were switched correctly and provided examiner with support for such switch by the way of examples. The applicant's representative also requested examiner's amendment that would incorporate into claim 1d the same language as independent claim 16 and 20.